

## RECORD OF EXECUTIVE DECISION

Decision maker	Details of Decision	Reasons	Other options considered and rejected	Conflict of interest declared by any Executive member consulted	Contact officer from where the documents can be obtained
Councillor Rolfe – Leader of the Council	To recommend to Council that, in addition to the amendments agreed by Cabinet to the Regulation 19 Pre-Submission Local Plan document, that the following amendments are also incorporated in to the plan for consideration by Council on 19 June 2018:	Members of the public addressed the Cabinet on 12 June 2018, together with parish and ward councillors regarding planning considerations that in their view made these sites unsuitable for allocation. Officers have provided their professional views on these sites. It is important to have regard to the adopted neighbourhood plans and those in advanced stages of preparation where possible. The decision reflects the views of cabinet colleagues who were in attendance at the meeting. On balance, these sites are not considered suitable for allocation.	To continue to recommend to Council that these sites be allocated in the plan.	None	Roger Harborough Director of Public Services <a href="mailto:rharborough@uttlesford.gov.uk">rharborough@uttlesford.gov.uk</a>
	Chapter 12 Site Allocations:	Specifically:			
	Amend Debden – Land west	DEB1, specific weight was	To continue to		

Decision maker	Details of Decision	Reasons	Other options considered and rejected	Conflict of interest declared by any Executive member consulted	Contact officer from where the documents can be obtained
	of Thaxted Road, Debden (DEB1) to reflect the position in the draft local plan prepared for Regulation 18 Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 consultation.	attached to cumulative scale of development	recommend to Council that the site allocation be amended to include additional land.		
	Remove Great Dunmow – site of former Bardfield House, Church End, Great Dunmow (GtDUN5)	GtDUN5, specific weight was attached to the previous appeal dismissal	To continue to recommend to Council that the site be allocated		
	Amend Newport – Land at Bricketts, London Road, Newport (NEWP2) to reflect the position in the draft local plan prepared for Regulation 18 Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 consultation.	NEWP2 specific weight was attached to the extension of ribbon development.	To continue to recommend to Council that the site allocation be amended to include additional land.		
	To recommend to Council that the following site is not incorporated in to the plan for consideration by Council on 19 June 2018: Old Chalk Farm, Chalk Farm Lane, Newport	The ward councillor addressed the Cabinet on 12 June 2018, regarding planning considerations that in his view made this site suitable for allocation. Officers have provided their	To recommend to Council that the plan be amended to allocate this site.		

Decision maker	Details of Decision	Reasons	Other options considered and rejected	Conflict of interest declared by any Executive member consulted	Contact officer from where the documents can be obtained
		<p>professional views on this site. It is important to have regard to the neighbourhood plans that is in the advanced stages of preparation where possible. The decision reflects the views of cabinet colleagues who were in attendance at the meeting. On balance, this site is not considered suitable for allocation reflecting officers' advice.</p>			
	<p>To recommend to Council that the following sites not be removed or amended from the Regulation 19 Pre-Submission Local Plan document plan for consideration by Council on 19 June 2018: Elsenham – Land south of Rush Lane (ELSE1) Land south of B1256 (Stortford Road) and west of Butleys Lane, Great Dunmow (GtDUN4)  Land to the south of B1256</p>	<p>Parish councillors addressed the Cabinet on 12 June 2018, regarding planning considerations that in their view made these sites unsuitable for allocation. Officers have provided their professional views on these sites. It is important to have regard to the adopted neighbourhood plan in the case of Great Dunmow. The decision reflects the views of cabinet colleagues who were in attendance at</p>	<p>To recommend to Council that these sites are removed from the plan.  To recommend to Council that these sites be allocated in the plan but with amendments.</p>		

Decision maker	Details of Decision	Reasons	Other options considered and rejected	Conflict of interest declared by any Executive member consulted	Contact officer from where the documents can be obtained
	Little Canfield (LtCAN1)	the meeting. On balance, these sites are considered suitable for allocation reflecting officers' advice.			